

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,159	06/06/2002	Heinrich Francke	18584-0003	1888	
7590 08/25/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Sutherland Asbill & Brennan			KUMAR,	KUMAR, PREETI	
999 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3996			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1751		
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/069,159	FRANCKE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Preeti Kumar	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/069,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 1-5 are pending.
- 2. The objection to claim 5 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 3. The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in light of applicants amendment to the claims.
- 4. The objection to claims 1 and 4 is withdrawn in light of Applicants arguments.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1, 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hopkins et al. (US 4,560,386) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action and further explained below.
- 6. The rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hopkins et al. (US 4,560,386) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action and because Applicants have not addressed the rejection on its merits in the remarks filed May 2, 2005.
- 7. The rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Friese et al. (US 4,903,362) is maintained for the reasons recited in the previous office action and further explained below.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/2005 with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Furthermore, Applicants incorrectly state that

Application/Control Number: 10/069,159

Art Unit: 1751

claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hopkins et al. (US 4,560,386). Examiner would like to point out that only claims 1, 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hopkins et al. (US 4,560,386).

Applicants urge that Hopkins et al. do not teach the use of unsaturated fatty acids as starting material for production of the lubricant as is claimed in claim 1.

Contrary to applicants arguments, Hopkins et al. teach fat liquoring agents based on modified or emulsified natural or synthetic oils, fats or waxes to the dyestuffs. See col.6, In.55-56 and example 1-2. These are the same starting products recited by the instant claims.

Applicants also urge that Friese et al. does not disclose any of the recited chemical processes such as sulfation, sulfochlorination, phophating, ethoxylation, amidation or reaction to yield sulfosuccinates as disclosed within the instant specification on page 4 and/or instant claim 3.

Contrary to applicants arguments, see col.3,ln.15-20 where Firese et al. teach that the sulfited fats are used together with sulfated fats, sulfonated fats, sulfited fats prepared from high-iodine fats, sulfochlorinated fats or phosphated fats as oiling agents for leather and skins and are produced by oxidation of the fats with oxygen-containing gas mixtures, for example air, and simultaneous or subsequent sulfitation with alkali and/or ammonium hydrogen sulfite. See col.1,ln.15-20. Friese et al. teach oiling of upper leathers in a single bath, comprising neutralizing with sodium formate, retanning and lubricating with a lubricating agent comprising sulfited fats together with sulfated fats, sulfonated fats, sulfited fats prepared from high-iodine fats, sulfochlorinated fats or

phosphated fats that have been further subjected to oxidative treatment. See application examples A-D and col.3,ln.15-20. Thus, Friese et al. teach the same

chemical reactions of the fats as recited by the instant claim 3.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

PΚ